

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,834	10/07/2003	Douglas Hadfield	P385	5409
7590 07/06/2004			EXAMINER	
PAUL E. MILLIKEN			CLARKE, SARA SACHIE	
9061 WALL STREET, NW MASSILLON, OH 44646-1676			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3749	
			DATE MAII ED: 07/06/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/680,834	HADFIELD, DOUGLAS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sara Clarke	3749			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 5-14 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 October 2003 is/are:		to by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	PTO-413) te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☐ Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (the UK search report) filed October 7, 2003, fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Drawings

Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the reference numbers are inconsistent with those in the specification and drawings. "29" should be "31" and "30" should be "29." Correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 5-14 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 5, line 1, "Claims" should be "Claim" (singular). In claim 7, the language "with the diameter

forms the fireback opening" is awkward. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 5 recites the broad recitation 15-25 degrees, and the claim also recites 21 degrees which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 8-10, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Park (CH 665012).

Park discloses the invention as claimed including walls with inner surfaces that slope steadily inwardly to decrease the cross sectional area of the fireback, a larger area being at a height substantially the level of the fire bed and a smaller area being adjacent the throat. See element 5 (burning chamber) in Fig. 3. Park further discloses a vertical base portion of the fireback. See Fig. 3. The fire bed is the portion of the fireplace where the vertical base portion and the sloping portion meet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park (CH 665012) in view of Zeller (CH 627832).

Park discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of raised surface features in the form of corrugations or undulations, which form peaks lying in

Art Unit 3749

planes substantially parallel to the base of the fireback.

Zeller discloses a fireplace and teaches the use of an insert including undulations (see Figs. 3 and 4) to improve heat absorption. See column 2, lines 40-44.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the fireplace of Park with undulations as taught by Zeller for the purpose of improving heat absorption.

Claims 6, 7, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park (CH 665012) in view of Buffington (US 2003/0019490).

Park discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of a semi-circular cross-section and is frustoconical in shape.

Buffington discloses a fireplace and teaches the use of a semi-circular interior (see the abstract and Fig. 3) for the purpose of providing a kiva-style fireplace.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the fireplace of park with a semi-circular shape (and consequently a frustoconical 3D shape) as taught by Buffington for the purpose of changing the style of the fireplace to be more kiva-like.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park (CH 665012) in view of Buffington (US 2003/0019490) as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Hendricks (US 3301249). Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park (CH 665012) in view of Hendricks (US 3301249).

Park (claim 12) and Park and Buffington (claim 11) disclose the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the fireback being formed as a single refractory cement casting.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,834 Art Unit 3749

Hendricks discloses a fireplace and teaches the use of making the fireback of a single refractory cement casting for the purpose of more quickly erecting the chimney structure. See column 1. See also column 4, lines 9-24.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to form the fireback of Park or Park and Buffington as a single refractory casting as taught by Hendricks for the purpose of erecting the chimney structure quickly.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Andrews (US 4013059) discloses a fireplace with sloping walls. Flynn, Jr. (US 2825326) discloses an arcuately shaped reflector panel.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara Clarke whose telephone number is (703)308-1388. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-1:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached at (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Application/Control Number: 10/680,834

Art Unit 3749

Page 7

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sara Clarke Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3749

June 25, 2004